

УДК 336.01

**УРОВЕНЬ И КАЧЕСТВО ЖИЗНИ В РАЗНЫХ РЕГИОНАХ
РОССИИ****Викарчук И.А.***Студент**ФГБОУ ВО «ЧелГУ»**г. Челябинск, Российская Федерация***Коновалова Е.О.***Студент**ФГБОУ ВО «ЧелГУ»**г. Челябинск, Российская Федерация***Лубожева Л.Н.***к.д.и.я., доцент**ФГБОУ ВО «ЧелГУ»**г. Челябинск, Российская Федерация***Аннотация:**

Наша статья направлена на исследование качества жизни, а также уровня жизни в различных регионах Российской Федерации. Для данного исследования мы выбрали три региона с разным уровнем и качеством жизни, а именно: Московская область, Челябинская область и Алтайский край. Для полного анализа мы ознакомились с показателями уровня жизни, а также проанализировали факторы, которые влияют на уровень жизни населения.

Мы сравнили регионы с высоким, средним и низким уровнем и качеством жизни. На основе нашего исследования мы выявили экономическое содержание причин, по которым тот или иной субъект занимает свою позицию в рейтинге.

Ключевые слова: уровень жизни, социально-экономический рейтинг, экономика, экономические показатели, регионы, региональные бюджеты, качество жизни, область, бюджетные средства, экология и здравоохранение

***QUALITY OF LIVE AND STANDARDS OF LIVING IN DIFFERENT
RUSSIAN REGIONS***

Vikarchuk I. A.

Student

FGBOU IN "CSU",

Chelyabinsk, Russian Federation

Konovalova E. O.

Student

FGBOU IN "CSU",

Chelyabinsk, Russian Federation

Lubozheva L.N.

d.b.f.l., Associate Professor

FGBOU IN "CSU",

Chelyabinsk, Russian Federation

Annotation.

Our article is intended on research of quality of life and standards of living in different regions of Russian Federation. We decided to choose three different regions with different quality and standards of living for this research. These regions are Moscow region, Chelyabinsk region and Altay region. We overviewed and analyzed some statistics, indicators and factors that influence quality and standards of living to do a full analyzes.

We compared regions with high, average and low quality and standards of living in our research. Based on this analysis, we identified economic reasons, why every region takes its place in rating.

Key words: standards of living, socio-economic rating, economics, economic indicators, regions, regional budgets, life quality, area, budgetary funds, ecology and health care.

Quality of life is one of the most important indicators of development of the regions. Definition of quality of life includes many different points such as economic, social, and demographic and many other living conditions [2].

Quality of life is a board category, what causes a huge variability during the analyses of economic indicators. There are three methods of quality of life and standards of living rating formation in Russia, these are objective, subjective and combined. We consider combined method to be the most appropriate for this rating. The main difficulty during the rating formation is a huge difference between its indicators. However, there are some factors that are widely assumed, they are ecology, health care and peaceful existence. First two indicators directly depend on economic situation in the country or region where these factors are рассматриваются. Ecological situation depends on ways of use of natural resources in a region. There is a need in a good economic environment and government subsidy for companies to have money to improve the way they use natural recourses. Good health care system requires a well working tax base and right funds distribution. Even peaceful existence depends on economics, because work of government and police is funded from the budget.

Table 1 - Socio-economic situation of Russian regions rating and main indicators of this rating. [3]

Constituent entities of Russian Federation	Position in socio-economic situation of Russian regions	Population for one bed in a hospital (person)	Share of captured and neutralized air pollutants	Amount of registered crimes Per 100 000 people	Revenue of the state budget, millions of rubles
Moscow region	6	135,2	74,2	1198	551730,5

Chelyabinsk region	22	131,4	84,6	1844	163000,7
Altay region	52	103,2	73,0	1880	99464,7

As we can see, Moscow region, which takes higher position in Socio-economic situation of Russian regions rating, is the is leading by two indicators. However, share of captured and neutralized air pollutants indicator is not that easy to analyze. Ecological situation in Chelyabinsk region is in bad conditions, despite the high amount of captured and neutralized air pollutants; there were 597 tons of harmful uncaptured pollutants vented into atmosphere in Chelyabinsk region at the beginning of 2017 year, due to Rosstat. There were about 253 tons of pollutants vented into the atmosphere at the same time in Moscow region.

Moscow region has a bigger tax base in comparison with Chelyabinsk region and as a result, there formed a bigger budget to improve quality of live and standards of living in Moscow region. Moreover, there is a huge difference between region's budgets, Moscow's budget a few times higher, when other indicators are almost at the same level. It may mean that some regions use their budgets in inefficient way.

Table 2 - Expenditure of a budget by regions for healthcare and ecology. [4] [5]

Region	Intergovernmental transfers, millions of rub	Costs on ecological events, millions of rubbles
Moscow region	20757	3712
Chelyabinsk region	249	540

Returning to the subject of inefficient usage of budgets we can look through the table above. Government of the Moscow region spends huge sums of money

Вектор экономики | www.vectoreconomy.ru | СМЭЛ № ФС 77-66790, ISSN 2500-3666

from the budget to improve health care and ecological situation in this region. Nevertheless, these indicators are almost the same both in Moscow and in Chelyabinsk regions. It means that regions spend their money inefficiently, insufficient government control over the government and commercial companies.

In conclusion, we can say that the position of the region in the socio-economic situation of Russian regions rating highly depends on the amount of money the region gets and the way it spends this money for maintenance and development quality of life and standards of living. Objects of expenditure of regions' budgets require permanent monitoring and control to increase efficiency of their usage. Research of life quality can help to identify methods to improve the situation in a region, so the region can take a better position in the socio-economic situation of Russian regions rating. Moreover, this research can help to reveal change dynamics and form a complex representation of economic reasons for the advance or decline of the current situation of life quality. There is a need for extra money for organization of control over budgeted spending. It should be noted, the Moscow region takes its position in the socio-economic situation of Russian regions rating because in comparison with other regions it has a high amount of money in funds for improving life quality events, but spends it inefficiently. There is a vice versa situation in the Chelyabinsk region, this region spends a poor amount of money on maintaining life quality, but does it very well. In the Altay region, the last region in our list, both indicators have bad results. The budget in this region is small and the government of the region uses it without a proper control.

Bibliography:

1. "Socio-economic situation of Russian regions rating. Results of 2017 year." Ria rating 2018.
2. Sociological encyclopedic dictionary. In Russian, English, German, French and Czech. Chief Editor G.V. Osipov. Publisher: INFRA-M-NORMA. 1998.
3. "Russian regions. Socio-economic indicators". Federal State Statistics Service, 2017.

4. Chelyabinsk region act of 23 December 2016 № 470-ЗО “About region’s budget for 2017 and planned period 2018 and 2019”.
5. Moscow region act of 26 December 2016 № 175/2016-ОЗ “About region’s budget for 2017 and planned period 2018 and 2019”.

Оригинальность 98%